

Future of Voting

Christian Reinbothe
Sudermanplatz 8 - 10

50670 Köln
Germany

<mailto:Christian.Reinbothe@T-Online.DE>
<http://www.Reinbothe.DE>

1. Run-Off

We take a look at a normal democratically election. Assume that $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ is the number of eligible voters and that we have two politicians P_1 and P_2 .

If the election is over, than there are $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ with

The politician P_1 got n_1 votes.

The politician P_2 got n_2 votes.

$$n_1 + n_2 \leq n$$

In this case we have:

Iff $n_1 > n_2$, P_1 has won the election.

Iff $n_1 < n_2$, P_2 has won the election.

Iff $n_1 = n_2$, there is a deadlock between P_1 and P_2 .

Observation:

Iff the election is decided, then a lot of votes are lost.

2. Proportional Representation

We replace the two politicians P_1 and P_2 with political parties. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}_+$ be the chairs in the house of parliament. Then we have for $n_1 + n_2 > 0$:

$$P_1 \text{ got } \frac{n_1}{n_1 + n_2} \cdot l \text{ chairs.}$$

$$P_2 \text{ got } \frac{n_2}{n_1 + n_2} \cdot l \text{ chairs.}$$

This is much better than a run-off. Remarks:

A rounding error is possible.

If in doubt, the parties can make a compromise.

(So the parties can handle a deadlock.)

3. Suggestion

Instead of voting with as single vote for one party and computing the chairs, just vote the factors of l .

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$ the number of involved political parties and let P_1, \dots, P_k be these parties. First we define a function $F : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$:

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^k \quad \left(\alpha \neq 0 \Rightarrow \left(\forall \kappa \in \{1, \dots, k\} \quad (F(\alpha))_\kappa := \frac{\alpha_\kappa}{\sum_{j=1}^k |\alpha_j|} \right) \right)$$

$$F(0) := 0$$

This function is a kind of barycenter. It holds:

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^k \quad \left(\alpha \neq 0 \Rightarrow \left(\sum_{j=1}^k |(F(\alpha))_j| = 1 \right) \right)$$

Cave!: You must understand the definition of $F : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$. It computes the proportionally percentage of $|x_k|$ of $\sum_{j=1}^k |x_j|$. It normalizes (x_1, \dots, x_k) .

We define a second function $G : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^k \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, k\} \quad \left((\alpha_j \leq 0) \Rightarrow \left((G(\alpha))_j := 0 \right) \right)$$

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^k \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, k\} \quad \left((\alpha_j \geq 0) \Rightarrow \left((G(\alpha))_j := \alpha_j \right) \right)$$

This function forgets the parties with voting < 0 !

Assume that $(v_1, \dots, v_n) \in (\mathbb{R}^k)^n$ is the votings of the eligible voters. Then we have:

$F(v_i)$ is the wish of the voter i for the factors of l .

Now we compute an intermediate result $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^k$ as

$$\forall j \in \{1, \dots, k\} \quad \lambda_j := \sum_{i=1}^n (F(v_i))_j$$

(Vote Counting!)

(To count the votings all votes must be normalized.)

Finally, we can define the result $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^k$ of the election as

$$\Lambda := (F \circ G)(\lambda)$$

So every party P_j gets $(\Lambda_j \cdot l)$ chairs in the house of parliament. Remarks:

A rounding error is possible.

The new algorithm is difficult.

The new algorithm needs an application to count the votes.

Negative voting is possible.

4. An Example Voting

You can do a ranking for the parties. Just give the parties a grading from 0 - 15:

"0" = none approvement

...

"15" = most approvement

These are the school gradings in Germany.

5. Negative Voting

Consider the party P_j . If you give this party a "-1" and all other parties a "0", you can compensate votings from other eli-gible voters a little bit.