

**Geometry
of
Cartan's Derivation**

1. Terms

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$.

Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_+$.

1. We define $\mathfrak{L}^r(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$ as the \mathbb{R} -vectorspace of all r -times \mathbb{R} -multilinear mappings $f : \underbrace{\mathbb{R}^m \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^m}_{r\text{-times}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

2. We define S_r as the group of all permutations $\sigma : \{1, \dots, r\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, r\}$. Further we define $\text{sgn}_r(\dots)$ as the signum-function of S_r .

3. Let $f \in \mathfrak{L}^r(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$. We define:

$$(f \text{ is alternating}) \quad : \Leftrightarrow \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \forall \pi \in S_r \quad \forall v_1, \dots, v_r \in \mathbb{R}^m \quad f(v_1, \dots, v_r) = \\ \text{sgn}_r(\pi) f(v_{\pi(1)}, \dots, v_{\pi(r)}) \end{array} \right)$$

4. We define:

$$\mathfrak{L}_{\text{alt}}^r(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}) := \left\{ f \in \mathfrak{L}^r(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}) : f \text{ is alternating} \right\}$$

$\mathfrak{L}_{\text{alt}}^r(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$ is a \mathbb{R} -subvectorspace of $\mathfrak{L}^r(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$.

5. Let V be a finite dimensional \mathbb{R} -vectorspace. Let $f: V \rightarrow V$ be a \mathbb{R} -linear mapping. Let U be a \mathbb{R} -subvector-space of V . We define:

$$(f \text{ is a projection of } V \text{ onto } U) \quad : \Leftrightarrow \\ (f \circ f = f \quad \text{and} \quad f(V) = U)$$

Let f be a projection of V onto U . Then we have:

$$(\forall u \in U \quad f(u) = u) \quad \text{and} \quad (\text{kern}(f)) \cap U = \{0\}$$

6. Let G be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^m . Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(G)$. Then we define $d\varphi: G \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$ as the total differential of φ .

7. Let G be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^m . Then we define $A_r^m(G)$ as the set of all alternating C^∞ -differential forms $\omega: G \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_{\text{alt}}^r(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$.

Further we define $\mathfrak{D} \dots$ as the so called Cartan's - or exterior - derivation.

2. Projection and alternating Multilinear Forms

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$.

Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_+$.

Now we define a \mathbb{R} -linear mapping $\text{pr}_{r,m} : \mathcal{L}^r(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^r(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{L}^r(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}) \quad \forall v_1, \dots, v_r \in \mathbb{R}^m \quad (\text{pr}_{r,m}(\varphi))(v_1, \dots, v_r) &:= \\ &:= \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_r} \text{sgn}_r(\sigma) \varphi(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(r)}) \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the following theorem:

Theo. :

Ass. : $\text{pr}_{r,m}$ is a projection of $\mathcal{L}^r(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$ onto $\mathcal{L}_{\text{alt}}^r(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof: In 3 Steps:

1. $\text{pr}_{r,m}(\mathcal{L}^r(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\text{alt}}^r(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$ is true, i.e we have to prove

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{L}^r(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}) \quad \text{pr}_{r,m}(f) \text{ is alternating} \quad (1)$$

Proof of (1):

Let $f \in \mathcal{L}^r(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$.

Let $v_1, \dots, v_r \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Let $\pi \in S_r$.

We now define $w_1, \dots, w_r \in \mathbb{R}^m$ by

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, r\} \quad w_i := v_{\pi(i)} \quad (2)$$

Then we have:

$$\forall \kappa \in S_r \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, r\} \quad w_{\kappa(j)} = v_{\pi \circ \kappa(j)} \quad (3)$$

Now the following is true:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\text{pr}_{r,m}(f) \right) (v_1, \dots, v_r) = \\ &= \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_r} \text{sgn}_r(\sigma) f \left(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(r)} \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_r} \text{sgn}_r(\pi \circ \sigma) f \left(v_{\pi \circ \sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\pi \circ \sigma(r)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

With (2) and (3) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\text{pr}_{r,m}(f) \right) (v_1, \dots, v_r) = \\ &= \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_r} \text{sgn}_r(\pi \circ \sigma) f \left(w_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, w_{\sigma(r)} \right) = \\ &= \frac{\text{sgn}_r(\pi)}{r!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_r} \text{sgn}_r(\sigma) f \left(w_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, w_{\sigma(r)} \right) = \\ &= \text{sgn}_r(\pi) \left(\text{pr}_{r,m}(f) \right) (w_1, \dots, w_r) = \\ &= \text{sgn}_r(\pi) \left(\text{pr}_{r,m}(f) \right) (v_{\pi(1)}, \dots, v_{\pi(r)}) \end{aligned}$$

2. $\text{pr}_{r,m} \left(\mathfrak{L}^r \left(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R} \right) \right) \supseteq \mathfrak{L}_{\text{alt}}^r \left(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R} \right)$ is true, i.e because of $\mathfrak{L}_{\text{alt}}^r \left(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R} \right) \subseteq \mathfrak{L}^r \left(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R} \right)$ we have to prove:

$$\forall g \in \mathfrak{L}_{\text{alt}}^r \left(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R} \right) \quad \text{pr}_{r,m} (g) = g \quad (4)$$

Proof of (4):

Let $g \in \mathfrak{L}_{\text{alt}}^r \left(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R} \right)$.

Let $v_1, \dots, v_r \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\text{pr}_{r,m} (g) \right) (v_1, \dots, v_r) &= \\ &= \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_r} \text{sgn}_r (\sigma) g \left(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(r)} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Because $g \in \mathfrak{L}_{\text{alt}}^r \left(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R} \right)$, the following is true:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \sigma \in S_r \quad g \left(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(r)} \right) &= \\ &= \text{sgn}_r (\sigma) g \left(v_1, \dots, v_r \right) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Moreover the following is true:

$$\#S_r = r! \quad (7)$$

With (5) - (7) we have:

$$\left(\text{pr}_{r,m} (g) \right) (v_1, \dots, v_r) = g \left(v_1, \dots, v_r \right)$$

3. $\text{pr}_{r,m} \circ \text{pr}_{r,m} = \text{pr}_{r,m}$ is true, i.e. we have to prove

$$\forall h \in \mathcal{L}^r(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}) \quad (\text{pr}_{r,m} \circ \text{pr}_{r,m})(h) = \text{pr}_{r,m}(h)$$

But this is a consequence of (1) und (4).

3. Tools

Theo.: Formula for the Signum-Function sgn_r on S_r

Pre.: Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_+$ be with $r \geq 2$.

Ass.: $\forall \pi \in S_r \quad \text{sgn}_r(\pi) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \frac{\pi(i) - \pi(j)}{i - j}$

Rem.: Because of $S_1 = \{\text{id}_{\{1\}}\}$ the following is true:

$$\forall \pi \in S_1 \quad \text{sgn}_1(\pi) = 1 = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 1} \frac{\pi(i) - \pi(j)}{i - j}$$

Theo.: A Property of the Signum-Function sgn_r on S_r

Pre.: Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_+$.

Let $\pi \in S_{r+1}$.

Ass.: $\pi(r+1) = r+1 \Rightarrow$

$$(\pi \mid \{1, \dots, r\}) \in S_r \wedge \text{sgn}_r(\pi \mid \{1, \dots, r\}) = \text{sgn}_{r+1}(\pi)$$

Proof: Let $\pi(r+1) = r+1$.

Because $\pi : \{1, \dots, r+1\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, r+1\}$ is bijective, we have:

$$(\pi \mid \{1, \dots, r\}) : \{1, \dots, r\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, r\} \text{ is bijective}$$

respectively

$$(\pi \mid \{1, \dots, r\}) \in S_r$$

Then we get immediately:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sgn}_{r+1}(\pi) &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r+1} \frac{\pi(i) - \pi(j)}{i - j} = \\ &= \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \frac{\pi(i) - \pi(j)}{i - j} \right) \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j=r+1} \frac{\pi(i) - \pi(j)}{i - j} \right) = \\ &= \text{sgn}_r(\pi \mid \{1, \dots, r\}) \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\pi(i) - \pi(r+1)}{i - (r+1)} \right) = \\ &= \text{sgn}_r(\pi \mid \{1, \dots, r\}) \underbrace{\left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\pi(i) - (r+1)}{i - (r+1)} \right)}_{=1} = \\ &= \text{sgn}_r(\pi \mid \{1, \dots, r\}) \end{aligned}$$

Def.: Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_+$.

Let $k \in \{1, \dots, r+1\}$.

We now define $\lambda_{k,r} \in S_{r+1}$ by

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, r+1\} \quad \lambda_{k,r}(i) := \begin{cases} i & i < k \wedge i < r+1 \\ i+1 & i \geq k \wedge i < r+1 \\ k & i = r+1 \end{cases}$$

Rem.: One can describe $\lambda_{k,r}$ by

$$\lambda_{k,r} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & k-1 & k & \dots & r & r+1 \\ 1 & \dots & k-1 & k+1 & \dots & r+1 & k \end{pmatrix} \quad (*)$$

Then the following is obvious:

$$\lambda_{k,r}(1) < \dots < \lambda_{k,r}(r) \quad (**)$$

Theo:

Pre.: Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_+$.

Let $k \in \{1, \dots, r+1\}$.

Ass.: $\text{sgn}_{r+1}(\lambda_{k,r}) = (-1)^{r+k-1}$

Proof: First, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sgn}_{r+1}(\lambda_{k,r}) &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r+1} \frac{\lambda_{k,r}(i) - \lambda_{k,r}(j)}{i - j} = \\ &= \underbrace{\left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \frac{\lambda_{k,r}(i) - \lambda_{k,r}(j)}{i - j} \right)}_{>0 \text{ nach } (**)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\lambda_{k,r}(i) - \lambda_{k,r}(r+1)}{i - (r+1)} \right) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\lambda_{k,r}(i) - k}{i - (r+1)} \end{aligned}$$

We define a function $v : \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$ by

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \quad v(z) := \begin{cases} +1 & z > 0 \\ -1 & z < 0 \end{cases}$$

Then we have to prove:

$$v \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\lambda_{k,r}^{(i)-k}}{i-(r+1)} \right) = (-1)^{r+k-1} \quad (1)$$

Proof of this:

First we have obviously:

$$v \left(\prod_{i=1}^r i-(r+1) \right) = (-1)^r \quad (2)$$

By (*) the following is true:

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\} \quad v \left(\lambda_{k,r}^{(i)-k} \right) = -1 \quad (3)$$

and

$$\forall i \in \{k, \dots, r\} \quad v \left(\lambda_{k,r}^{(i)-k} \right) = +1 \quad (4)$$

With (2) - (4) (1) is proved (Cave $k = 1!$).

4. Known Property of Cartan's Derivation

Theo.: Invariant Description of Cartan's Derivation

Pre.: Let $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$.

Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_+$.

Let G be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^m .

Let $\omega \in A_r^m(G)$.

Let $p \in G$.

Let $v_0, \dots, v_r \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Ass.:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{D}\omega)_p(v_0, \dots, v_r) &= \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^r (-1)^k \left(d_p \left(\omega \dots \left(v_0, \dots, v_{k-1}, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_r \right) \right) \right) (v_k) \end{aligned}$$

5. New Invariant Description of Cartan's Derivation

Theo. :

Pre. : Let $m \in \mathbb{N}_+$.

Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_+$.

Let G be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^m .

Let $\omega \in A_r^m(G)$.

Let $p \in G$.

We define $\zeta \in \mathfrak{L}^{r+1}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \forall w_1, \dots, w_{r+1} \in \mathbb{R}^m \quad \zeta(w_1, \dots, w_{r+1}) &:= \\ &:= \left(d_p \left(\omega \dots (w_1, \dots, w_r) \right) \right) (w_{r+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Ass. :

$$\text{pr}_{r+1, m}^r(\zeta) = \frac{(-1)^r}{r+1} (\mathfrak{d}\omega)_p$$

Proof: Let $v_1, \dots, v_{r+1} \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Then we have per definitionem:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\text{pr}_{r+1, m}^r(\zeta) \right) (v_1, \dots, v_{r+1}) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(r+1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{r+1}} \text{sgn}_{r+1}(\sigma) \zeta \left(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(r+1)} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Now the following is true

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\sigma \in S_{r+1}} \operatorname{sgn}_{r+1}(\sigma) \zeta \left(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(r+1)} \right) = \\
& = \sum_{k=1}^{r+1} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_{r+1} \\ \sigma(r+1)=k}} \operatorname{sgn}_{r+1}(\sigma) \zeta \left(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(r)}, v_k \right) \quad (2)
\end{aligned}$$

and (Proof of (3) later)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \forall k \in \{1, \dots, r+1\} \quad \forall \left(\begin{array}{l} \sigma \in S_{r+1} \\ \sigma(r+1) = k \end{array} \right) \\
& \zeta \left(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(r+1)} \right) = \quad (3) \\
& = \operatorname{sgn}_{r+1}(\sigma) \underbrace{\operatorname{sgn}_{r+1}(\lambda_{k,r})}_{=(-1)^{r+k-1}} \zeta \left(v_{\lambda_{k,r}(1)}, \dots, v_{\lambda_{k,r}(r+1)} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\forall k \in \{1, \dots, r+1\} \quad \# \{ \sigma \in S_{r+1} : \sigma(r+1) = k \} = r! \quad (4)$$

With (1) - (4) the following is true:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\operatorname{pr}_{r+1,m}(\zeta) \right) (v_1, \dots, v_{r+1}) = \\
& = \frac{(-1)^r r!}{(r+1)!} \sum_{k=1}^{r+1} (-1)^{k-1} \zeta \left(v_{\lambda_{k,r}(1)}, \dots, v_{\lambda_{k,r}(r+1)} \right) = \\
& = \frac{(-1)^r}{r+1} \sum_{k=1}^{r+1} (-1)^{k-1} \\
& \quad \left(d_p \left(\omega \dots \left(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_{r+1} \right) \right) \right) (v_k) = \\
& = \frac{(-1)^r}{r+1} (d\omega)_p (v_1, \dots, v_{r+1})
\end{aligned}$$

Now follows the proof of (3):

Let $k \in \{1, \dots, r+1\}$.

Let $\sigma \in S_{r+1}$ and be $\sigma(r+1) = k$ true.

We now define $\pi \in S_{r+1}$ by

$$\pi := \sigma^{-1} \circ \lambda_{k,r} \in S_{r+1} \quad (5)$$

and $u_1, \dots, u_r \in \mathbb{R}^m$ by

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, r\} \quad u_i := v_{\sigma(i)} \quad (6)$$

Because $\sigma(r+1) = k$, the following is true:

$$\pi(r+1) = r+1 \quad (7)$$

Especially we have:

$$\forall j \in \{1, \dots, r\} \quad \left(\pi(j) \in \{1, \dots, r\} \text{ and } u_{\pi(j)} = v_{\sigma \circ \pi(j)} \right) \quad (8)$$

Finally we get with (5) - (8) and $\omega \in A_r^m(G)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta \left(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(r+1)} \right) = \\ & = \zeta \left(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(r)}, v_k \right) = \\ & = \zeta \left(u_1, \dots, u_r, v_k \right) = \\ & = \operatorname{sgn}_r (\pi \mid \{1, \dots, r\}) \zeta \left(u_{\pi(1)}, \dots, u_{\pi(r)}, v_k \right) = \\ & = \operatorname{sgn}_{r+1} (\pi) \zeta \left(v_{\sigma \circ \pi(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma \circ \pi(r)}, v_k \right) = \\ & = \operatorname{sgn}_{r+1} \left(\sigma^{-1} \circ \lambda_{k,r} \right) \zeta \left(v_{\lambda_{k,r}(1)}, \dots, v_{\lambda_{k,r}(r)}, v_k \right) = \\ & = \underbrace{\operatorname{sgn}_{r+1} \left(\sigma^{-1} \right)}_{=\operatorname{sgn}_{r+1}(\sigma)} \operatorname{sgn}_{r+1} \left(\lambda_{k,r} \right) \zeta \left(v_{\lambda_{k,r}(1)}, \dots, v_{\lambda_{k,r}(r+1)} \right) \end{aligned}$$